Ferromagnetic Coupling in Copper(II) $[2 \times 2]$ Grid-like Complexes

Augustin M. Madalan,^{†,‡} Xiao-Yu Cao,^{†,§} Guillaume Rogez,[⊥] and Jean-Marie Lehn^{*,†}

†Institut de Science et d'Ingé[ni](#page-2-0)erie Supramolécula[ire](#page-2-0)s, Université de Strasbourg, 8 allée Gaspard Monge[, 6](#page-2-0)7000 Strasbourg, France $^\perp$ Institut de Physique et Chimie des Matériaux, UMR 7504 CNRS, Université de Strasbourg, BP 43, 67034 Strasbourg Cedex 2, France

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: [Two](#page-2-0) [copper\(](#page-2-0)II) $[2 \times 2]$ grid-like complexes were synthesized and structurally characterized. Investigation of the magnetic properties showed for both the occurrence of intramolecular ferromagnetic interactions.

The self-assembly processes of specific metallosupramolec-
ular architectures from a set of organic ligands and metal
international information ions result from the correct reading of the structural information stored within the ligands by the metal ions operating through a $coordination$ algorithm.¹ Among these systems, grid-type arrangements attracted particular attention as potential candidates for inform[ati](#page-2-0)on storage devices.² In grid-like complexes, a set of metal ions are held in a regular array by organic ligands, which are displaced in perpen[dic](#page-2-0)ular patterns. The metal ions are directing and sustaining the molecular architecture through their stereochemical coordination preferences, and from their interaction with the ligands result the $redox₃³ optical₃^{3c,4} and magnetic properties. $5$$

A first step in designing molecular devices based on grid-like comp[le](#page-2-0)xes con[sist](#page-2-0)s in the choice of suitabl[e](#page-2-0) ligands in order to control the interactions between the metal ions and/or their electronic state. If the ligand offers an odd number of bridging atoms between the metal ions and constrains them in a planar arrangement, ferromagnetic couplings through a spin polarization mechanism can be demonstrated.⁶ For copper(II) $[2 \times 2]$ grid-like complexes with an even number of heteroaromatic bridging groups betwe[en](#page-2-0) the metallic centers, such as $pyrazine'$ or pyrazolate⁸ bridges, antiferromagnetic interactions between the metal centers were reported. Investigation by electro[n](#page-2-0) paramagnetic resonance on a dilute frozen solution of the magnetic behavior of copper(II) $[2 \times 2]$ grids with triazine- or pyrimidine-based ligands (an odd number of bridging atoms) showed ferromagnetic interactions for the triazine derivative and concentration-dependent competing magnetic interactions for the pyrimidine one. 9 For the copper(II) grids, ferromagnetic interactions were reported especially in the case of single-atom linkages between th[e](#page-2-0) metal ions, Cu−O−Cu.¹⁰

Herein we report on the synthesis of two copper(II) $[2 \times 2]$ grid-like complexes with 2-phenylpyrimidi[ne](#page-2-0) derivatives as ligands. Their crystal structures and magnetic properties have been investigated. We chose to use two ditopic bis(hydrazone) ligands functionalized with flexible relatively bulky substituents (Scheme 1) in order to prevent the intermolecular interactions between the metal ions and to minimize the distortions of the grid core induced by packing in the lattice.

Scheme 1. Structures of the Bis(hydrazone) Ligands L^1 and L^2 Used for Synthesis of the Copper(II) Grids

The reactions of copper(II) tetrafluoroborate with ligand $L¹$ and of copper(II) perchlorate with ligand L^2 [the two bis(hydrazone) ligands were obtained following reported procedures¹¹] afforded the $[2 \times 2]$ grid compounds $\mathrm{[Cu_4(L^1)_4]}$. $\overline{(BF_4)_8 \cdot 10H_2O}$ (1) and $\overline{[Cu_4(L^2)_4]}$ (ClO₄)₈ (2). The structural determinat[ion](#page-2-0)s by X-ray diffraction on a single crystal confirmed for both of them the grid formation (Figure 1). Compound 1 crystallizes in the chiral $P2_12_12_1$ orthorhombic space group $[a =$ 18.537(3) [Å](#page-1-0), $b = 28.794(4)$ Å, $c = 31.529(5)$ Å, $V = 16828(5)$ Å 3], and 2 crystallizes in the centrosymmetric C2/c monoclinic space group $a = 28.760(2)$ Å, $b = 16.635(2)$ Å, $c = 31.940(3)$ Å, $\hat{\beta} = 105.286(8)^\circ$, $V = 14740(3)$ Å³]. In these complexes, the copper(II) ions exhibit distorted octahedral geometries, with each metal ion being coordinated to six nitrogen atoms from two ligands positioned in an almost perpendicular fashion (each ligand contributes with one pyridine nitrogen, one pyrimidine nitrogen, and one hydrazone nitrogen). The Cu−N_{hydrazone} bond lengths are generally shorter than the Cu−N_{pyridine} and Cu− Npyrimidine ones. The Cu−Nhydrazone bond lengths range from 1.943(7) to 2.091(7) Å in 1 and from 1.976(6) to 2.111(6) Å in 2, while the Cu−N_{pyridine} and Cu−N_{pyrimidine} ones are between 2.065(6) and 2.324(6) Å in 1 and between 2.028(6) and 2.361(6) Å in 2 (Table S2 in the Supporting Information, SI). The $Cu₄$ units in compounds 1 and 2 present some rhombic distortions. The values of the Cu···[Cu distances and Cu](#page-2-0)···Cu··· Cu angles are presented in the schematic representations shown in Figure 1. The distortion of the $Cu₄$ unit from the planarity can be evaluated by measuring the distance between the centroids of the diago[na](#page-1-0)ls: 0.05 Å in compound 1, where the four copper(II) ions are almost coplanar, and 0.265 Å in 2. In both crystals, two from the eight anions, tetrafluoroborate in 1 and perchlorate in 2,

Received: February 5, 2014 Published: April 22, 2014

Figure 1. Orthogonal views of the cationic grid units in crystals 1 (a) and 2 (b). The hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules were omitted for clarity. From the tetrafluoroborate anions in 1 and the perchlorate anions in 2, only that ones that are located in the proximity of the Cu₄ core were represented. One perchlorate anion in compound 2 is disordered on two crystallographic positions, with site occupancy factors of 0.5 each. Symmetry code: $z = 1 - x$, $y, 0.5 - z.$

Figure 2. χ T (open circles) and χ (open squares) as a function of the temperature plots for compounds 1 (a) and 2 (b). Full lines correspond to the fits.

are located in the proximity of the $Cu₄$ core, up and down, between the flexible axial substituents (Figure 1).

The magnetic properties of compounds 1 and 2 were investigated in the solid state in the 300−1.8 K temperature range with an applied field of 5 kOe. The two compounds present similar behavior (Figure 2). The χ T values at room temperature (1.77 emu·K·mol[−]¹ for 1 and 1.74 emu·K·mol[−]¹ for 2) correspond quite well to the expected value for four uncoupled copper(II) ions (1.65 emu·K·mol⁻¹ assuming $g = 2.1$). Upon cooling, the χ T product remains almost constant down to ca. 50 K. Below 50 K, χ T increases gradually up to 2.46 emu·K·mol⁻¹ at

1.8 K for 1 and 2.32 emu·K·mol[−]¹ at 1.8 K for 2, indicating the occurrence of intramolecular ferromagnetic interactions.

Assuming a square spin topology, with a unique intramolecular magnetic interaction and molecules perfectly isolated, the data were fit using the following spin Hamiltonian, where all parameters have their usual meaning and the spin operator S is defined as $S = S_{Cu1} + S_{Cu2} + S_{Cu3} + S_{Cu4}$:

$$
H = -JS_{Cu1}S_{Cu2} - JS_{Cu2}S_{Cu3} - JS_{Cu3}S_{Cu4} - JS_{Cu4}S_{Cu1} + g\beta HS
$$

The fits lead the following values: $J = +1.04$ cm⁻¹ and $g = 2.17$ for 1 and $J = +0.97$ cm⁻¹ and $g = 2.15$ for 2.

In both compounds, the copper(II) ions exhibit distorted octahedral geometries with Cu−N bond lengths in the wide range from $1.943(7)$ to $2.361(6)$ Å with a quite irregular distribution for the four copper(II) ions in the grid 1 (Figure 3

Figure 3. Perspective views of the metal-ion coordination spheres in the grid complexes 1 (a) and 2 (b). The Cu−N bonds with lengths in the range of 1.94−2.08 Å are labeled with yellow, those in the range of 2.08− 2.20 Å with brown, and those over 2.20 Å with blue. Symmetry code: $' =$ $1 - x$, y, $0.5 - z$.

and Table S2 in the SI). Taking into account only the longer Cu− N bonds (over 2.20 Å) and assuming the coordination spheres of $copper(II)$ ions as elongated octahedra, the orthogonality of the magnetic orbitals can be proposed as a coupling mechanism more likely than spin polarization (due to the alternate arrangement of the long axes around the squares).

In conclusion, the magnetic behavior of the two copper(II) $[2]$ \times 2] grid-like complexes showed that the pyrimidine bridges are able to mediate ferromagnetic interactions. Modulation of the magnetic interactions between the metal ions through external stimuli (e.g., insertion of a smaller anion, halide, in the grid cavity) represents a target in manipulation of these nanoobjects for future applications.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

X-ray crystallographic data in CIF format, synthesis and characterization data (elemental chemical analyses and IR spectra), a summary of the crystallographic data and the structure refinement, and selected bond lengths in compounds 1 and 2. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: lehn@unistra.fr.

Present Addresses

‡ A.M.M.[: Inorganic Che](mailto:lehn@unistra.fr)mistry Department, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Bucharest, 23 Dumbrava Rosie, 020464 Bucharest, Romania. E-mail: madalin.madalan@g.unibuc.ro. § X.-Y.C.: State Key Laboratory of Physical Chemistry of Solid Surfaces and College of Ch[emistry and Chemical Engineer](mailto:madalin.madalan@g.unibuc.ro)ing,

Xiamen University, Xiamen, China. E-mail: xcao@xmu.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial [interest.](mailto:xcao@xmu.edu.cn)

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.M.M. acknowledges financial support of a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship (Project 023627).

■ DEDICATION

Dedicated to Professor Marius Andruh on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

■ REFERENCES

 (1) (a) Lehn, J.-M. Supramolecular Chemistry-Concepts and Perspectives; VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1995. (b) Lehn, J.-M. Chem.Eur. J. 2000, 6, 2097−2102. (c) Fujita, M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1998, 27, 417−425. (d) Fujita, M.; Tominaga, M.; Hori, A.; Therrien, B. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 369−378. (e) Swiegers, G. F.; Malefetse, T. J. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3483−3538. (f) Champin, B.; Mobian, P.; Sauvage, J.-P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 358−366.

(2) (a) Ruben, M.; Rojo, J.; Romero-Salguero, F. J.; Uppadine, L. H.; Lehn, J.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3644−3662. (b) Petukhov, K.; Alam, M. S.; Rupp, H.; Strömsdörfer, S.; Müller, P.; Scheurer, A.; Saalfrank, R. W.; Kortus, J.; Postnikov, A.; Ruben, M.; Thompson, L. K.; Lehn, J.-M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2009, 253, 2387−2398.

(3) (a) Ruben, M.; Breuning, E.; Gisselbrecht, P.; Lehn, J.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4139−4142. (b) Uppadine, L. H.; Gisselbrecht, P.; Lehn, J.-M. Chem. Commun. 2004, 718−719. (c) Bassani, D. M.; Lehn, J.-M.; Serroni, S.; Puntoriero, F.; Campagna, S. Chem.-Eur. J. 2003, 9, 5936−5946.

(4) Ruben, M.; Lehn, J.-M.; Vaughan, G. Chem. Commun. 2003, 1338− 1339.

(5) (a) Breuning, E.; Ruben, M.; Lehn, J.-M.; Renz, F.; Garcia, Y.; Ksenofontov, V.; Gütlich, P.; Wegelius, E.; Rissanen, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2504−2507. (b) Ruben, M.; Breuning, E.; Lehn, J.-M.; Ksenofontov, V.; Renz, F.; Gütlich, P.; Vaughan, G. B. M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2003, 9, 4422−4429. (c) Dey, S. K.; Thompson, L. K.; Dawe, L. N. Chem. Commun. 2006, 4967−4969. (d) Dawe, L. N.; Thompson, L. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7440−7444. (e) Anwar, M. U.; Thompson, L. K.; Dawe, L. N.; Habib, F.; Murugesu, M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 4576−4578.

(6) (a) Pascu, M.; Lloret, F.; Avarvari, N.; Julve, M.; Andruh, M. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 5189–5191. (b) Fernández, I.; Ruiz, R.; Faus, J.; Julve, M.; Lloret, F.; Cano, J.; Ottenwaelder, X.; Journaux, Y.; Muñ oz, M. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3039−3042. (c) Dul, M.-C.; Ottenwaelder, X.; Pardo, E.; Lescouëzec, R.; Journaux, Y.; Chamoreau, L.-M.; Ruiz-García, R.; Cano, J.; Julve, M.; Lloret, F. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 5244−5249. (d) Yuste, C.; Ferrando-Soria, J.; Cangussu, D.; Fabelo, O.; Ruiz-Pérez, C.; Marino, N.; De Munno, G.; Stiriba, S.-E.; Ruiz-García, R.; Cano, J.; Lloret, F.; Julve, M. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2010, 363, 1984−1994.

(7) (a) Cati, D. S.; Ribas, J.; Ribas-Ariñ o, J.; Stoeckli-Evans, H. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 1021−1030. (b) Klingele, J.; Boas, J. F.; Pilbrow, J. R.; Moubaraki, B.; Murray, K. S.; Berry, K. J.; Hunter, K. A.; Jameson, G. B.; Boyd, P. D. W.; Brooker, S. Dalton Trans. 2007, 6, 633−645.

(8) (a) Mann, K. L. V.; Psillakis, E.; Jefferey, J. C.; Rees, L. H.; Harden, N. M.; McCleverty, J. A.; Ward, M. D.; Gatteschi, D.; Totti, F.; Mabbs, F. E.; McInnes, E. J. L.; Riedi, P. C.; Smith, G. M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1999, 3, 339−348. (b) Klingele, J.; Prikhod'ko, A. I.; Leibeling, G.; Demeshko, S.; Dechert, S.; Meyer, F. Dalton Trans. 2007, 20, 2003− 2013.

(9) Parizel, N.; Ramírez, R.; Burg, C.; Choua, S.; Bernard, M.; Gambarelli, S.; Maurel, V.; Brelot, L.; Lehn, J.-M.; Turek, P.; Stadler, A.- M. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 10951−10953.

(10) (a) Matthews, C. J.; Avery, K.; Xu, Z.; Thompson, L. K.; Zhao, L.; Miller, D. O.; Biradha, K.; Poirier, K.; Zaworotko, M. J.; Wilson, C.; Goeta, A. E.; Howard, A. K. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 5266−5276. (b) Zhao, L.; Xu, Z.; Thompson, L. K.; Heath, S. L.; Miller, D. O.; Ohba, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3114−3117.

(11) Tielmann, P.; Marchal, A.; Lehn, J.-M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 6349−6353.